



Kathryn Myklebust Woodland, City of P.O. Box 9 Woodland, WA 98674

Laboratory Results for: HSL Testing

Dear Kathryn,

Enclosed are the results of the sample(s) submitted to our laboratory April 13, 2023 For your reference, these analyses have been assigned our service request number **K2304322**.

Analyses were performed according to our laboratory's NELAP-approved quality assurance program. The test results meet requirements of the current NELAP standards, where applicable, and except as noted in the laboratory case narrative provided. For a specific list of NELAP-accredited analytes, refer to the certifications section at www.alsglobal.com. All results are intended to be considered in their entirety, and ALS Group USA Corp. dba ALS Environmental (ALS) is not responsible for use of less than the complete report. Results apply only to the items submitted to the laboratory for analysis and individual items (samples) analyzed, as listed in the report.

Please contact me if you have any questions. My extension is 3260. You may also contact me via email at Luke.Rahn@alsglobal.com.

Respectfully submitted,

noe D. Oak

ALS Group USA, Corp. dba ALS Environmental

for Luke Rahn Project Manager



Narrative Documents



Client:Woodland, City ofService Request: K2304322Project:HSL TestingDate Received: 04/13/2023

Sample Matrix: Water

CASE NARRATIVE

All analyses were performed consistent with the quality assurance program of ALS Environmental. This report contains analytical results for samples for the Tier I level requested by the client.

Sample Receipt:

Two water samples were received for analysis at ALS Environmental on 04/13/2023. Any discrepancies upon initial sample inspection are annotated on the sample receipt and preservation form included within this report. The samples were stored at minimum in accordance with the analytical method requirements.

General Chemistry:

No significant anomalies were noted with this analysis.

Approved by Mae D. Dank

Date 04/21/2023



Sample Receipt Information

Client: Woodland, City of Service Request:K2304322

Project: HSL Testing/Horseshoe Lake

SAMPLE CROSS-REFERENCE

| SAMPLE # | CLIENT SAMPLE ID | <u>DATE</u> | <u>HME</u> |
|--------------|------------------|-------------|------------|
| K2304322-001 | Bouy 3 Mid Point | 4/13/2023 | 1025 |
| K2304322-002 | Bouy 2 Beach | 4/13/2023 | 1035 |



CHAIN OF CUSTODY

129734

|)1 | SR# |
|----|-----------|
| | COC Setof |
| } | COC# |

1317 South 13th Ave, Keiso, WA 98626 Phone (360) 577-7222 / 800-695-7222 / FAX (360) 636-1068

| (AL3) | | 2MC31 | | | | | | | | www.a | Isglob | | m | 33) 335 1,030 | Page 1 of 1 |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|---------------|---|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------|------------|------|-------|--------|----------|-------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Project Name Project Manager | | eshoe lake | | | ВН | 48H | 28D | | | | | | | 4230432 | - |
| Company Company | 7 6 | | | ι S | | ٦. | | | | | ľ | | | W70040 | |
| Address = 0 | 37:3 | | | OF CONTAINERS | ECC | l Bilyr | | | | | | | | | |
| | dland, W | A 98674 | | N. | ay E(| oropi | | | | | | | | | |
| Phone # 60 125 - 7999 Sampler Signature | nightel | outkeci, woodke | .l. |)F C(| Ontra | / Ch | Ŧ | | | | | | | | |
| Sampler Signature | | _ | x us | ER (| 387 | H 00. | Phos | | | | | | | | |
| Dyan | <u> </u> | ryOliver | | NUMBER | SM 9223 B / Ontray | SM 10200 H / Chlorophylla | 365.3 / Phos | 1 | ~ | m | 4 | 2 | Remarks | | |
| CLIENT SAMPLE ID | LABID | SAMPLING Date Time | Matrix | | | | | | | | | | Toup Sechi | | |
| 1. Bour 3 Wid Point B | ouz 3 | 413/23 10254 | 4-0 | 1 | | | X | | | | | | 5113F 10 | | |
| | 042 | 4/13/23 10:35A | | ١ | | | × | | | | | | 9.3F 10' | | |
| 3. | U | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | | : | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. | | | | | | | | 1 | | | 7 | | | | |
| 8. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10. | | | | *************************************** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Report Requirements | | ice Information | | | | | | | | | | | Circle which | metals are to be analyzed | |
| I. Routine Report: Method Blank, Surrogate, as | P.O.# | | | | | Total | Moto | nie: A | ١ ٨. | | | | | | N- 0- 0- TI 0 W 7 II |
| required | Bill To: | | | | | | | | | | | | | Cu Fe Pb Mg Mn Mo Ni K Ag | _ |
| II. Report Dup., MS, MSD as required | | | | | | | | | | | Sb | Ва | | Cu Fe Pb Mg Mn Mo Ni K A | |
| Iff. CLP Like Summary | Turnaro | und Requiremer | nts Sp | oecial | Instr | uctio | ons/C | Comr | nent | S: | | | *Indicate State F | Hydrocarbon Procedure: AK CA \ | WI Northwest Other(Circle One) |
| (no raw data) | 24 5 D | hr48 hr. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IV. Data Validation Report | | andard | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| V. EDD | | Requested Report Date | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Relinquished By: | 1/2. 10 R | eceived By: | 1// | Rel | iŋqı | Jish | ed l | Ву: | | T, | \~ | F | Received By: | Relinquished By: | Received By: |
| Signature | Signature | gaous | K2 | | | 400 | W | <u> </u> | | | \sum |) , (| Ylullga | M | |
| Gary Oliver | KEM | YKLEBUST | Signa | TM | YK | LE | = BL | <u>157</u> | | Y | inati | M | rullega | Signature | Signature |
| the of woodland | Printed Nat | Woodwd | Printe Cit | ed Na -{∕\ | of 1 | Not | ad l | اسهى | | 4 | nted | Nai ∂ | me () | Printed Name | Printed Name |
| 1/13/23 1107 AM | 4/13/2 | 3 11:07 | Firm 14/1 | 32 | 3 | 3 | -{(| 70 | n_ | Fid | 7// | 3 | 23 1517 | Firm | Firm |
| Date/Time | Date/Time | | Date/ | | | | | | | Da | ŧ∉rŕ | imjé | | Date/Time | Date/Time |

| : | 1 | Cooler Recei <u>p</u> t | and Dr | seomiati | on F | orm | | | | РМ | h |
|---|--|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------|---------------------------|---|---|---------------------|--|--|
| Client CHU C- Received: 4113123 | Y | dand | By: | | rvice l | Request | K23 | U322 13/2= | | m | m |
| 1. Samples were received via | a? <i>USPS</i> | / / Fed Ex U | U PS | DHL | } | PDX | [/ Con | ırier H | land De | livered | |
| 2. Samples were received in: | | | Enve | | | ther | | .,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | NA. | |
| 3. Were custody seals on cool | | | | many and | | | r | ront | | | |
| If present, were custody sea | als intact? | | = | were they s | | | 1? | | \widehat{Y} | _ N | |
| Temp Blank Sample Tem | p IR Gun | Cooler #/COC ID / NA | | of tempicate with " | | PM Notifi If out of | ed | Trackin | g Numb | er NA | Filed |
| 20.81.19.1 | - 1201 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | and the same of th | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | - 1000000 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | • | | | | | |
| 4. Was a Temperature Blank pi | resent in cooler? N | IA (Y) N I | f yes, nota | te the temp | eratur | e in the a | ppropria | te column abo | ove: | | |
| If no, take the temperature | | | | ne cooler; 1 | notate | in the col | umn "Sa | ample Temp": | | | en personal de la companya de la com |
| 5. Were samples received with | | | | | | | | NA | Y | N |) |
| If no, were they received on | | is collected? If not, no | tate the co | oler # abov | ve and | notify th | e PM. | NA | (Y) | N | |
| If applicable, tissue samples we | ere received: Fr | ozen Partially Tha | rwed Ti | hawed | | | | | | | |
| 6. Packing material: Inserts | s Baggies (Bubl | ble Wrap Gel Packs | Wet Ice | Dry Ice | Sle | eves | | | | | |
| 7. Were custody papers prope | rly filled out (ink, s | igned, etc.)? | | | | | | NA | $\langle Y \rangle$ | N | |
| 8. Were samples received in g | • | , | | | | | | NA | Y | N | |
| Were all sample labels comDid all sample labels and ta | | | | | | | | NA | (\mathbf{y}) | N | |
| 11. Were appropriate bottles/co | | | te indianta | .do | | | | NA NA | (A) (A) (A) | N | |
| 12. Were the pH-preserved bot | | | | | icata is | n tha tabl | a balan | NA NA | | N | |
| 13. Were VOA vials received v | | | | o pri ma | icuie ii | n inc moi | e Delow | (NA) | Y | N N | |
| 14. Was C12/Res negative? | | | | | | | | NA NA | Y | N | |
| 15. Were samples received with | hin the method spec | eified time limit? If no | t, notate th | ie error bel | ow and | d notify t | he PM | (NA | Y | N | |
| 16. Were 100ml sterile microbi | | | | NA/ | Y | N | | Underfil | | Overfille | :d |
| | | | | | T | | | | | ······································ | |
| Sample ID on B | ottle | Sample | D on CO | <u>C</u> | | | | Identified | by: | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *************************************** | 7,000,000 | | | | | | | *************************************** | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | T | | | | | | T | | | |
| Sample ID |) | | Head- space Bro | ke pH | Rea | agent | Volume added | Reagent Number | Lot er | Initials | Time |
| | | 34 | | | | | | | | | ****** |
| | | | | | | | *************************************** | 1 | | | |

| Sample ID | | Head- space | рΉ | Reagent | Volume added | Reagent Lot Number | Initials | Time |
|-----------|---|----------------|----|---------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------|------|
| | A CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT OF | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Notes, Discrepancies, Resolutions:

G:\SMO\2022 Forms

ŚOP: SMO-GEN

Reviewed: 12/9/2022



Miscellaneous Forms

Inorganic Data Qualifiers

- * The result is an outlier. See case narrative.
- # The control limit criteria is not applicable.
- B The analyte was found in the associated method blank at a level that is significant relative to the sample result as defined by the DOD or NELAC standards.
- E The result is an estimate amount because the value exceeded the instrument calibration range.
- J The result is an estimated value.
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected ("Non-detect") at or above the MRL/MDL.
 DOD-QSM 4.2 definition: Analyte was not detected and is reported as less than the LOD or as defined by the project. The detection limit is adjusted for dilution.
- i The MRL/MDL or LOQ/LOD is elevated due to a matrix interference.
- X See case narrative.
- Q See case narrative. One or more quality control criteria was outside the limits.
- H The holding time for this test is immediately following sample collection. The samples were analyzed as soon as possible after receipt by the laboratory.

Metals Data Qualifiers

- # The control limit criteria is not applicable.
- J The result is an estimated value.
- E The percent difference for the serial dilution was greater than 10%, indicating a possible matrix interference in the sample.
- M The duplicate injection precision was not met.
- N The Matrix Spike sample recovery is not within control limits. See case narrative.
- S The reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Additions (MSA).
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected ("Non-detect") at or above the MRL/MDL. DOD-QSM 4.2 definition: Analyte was not detected and is reported as less than the LOD or as defined by the project. The detection limit is adjusted for dilution.
- W The post-digestion spike for furnace AA analysis is out of control limits, while sample absorbance is less than 50% of spike absorbance.
- i The MRL/MDL or LOQ/LOD is elevated due to a matrix interference.
- X See case narrative.
- + The correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
- Q See case narrative. One or more quality control criteria was outside the limits.

Organic Data Qualifiers

- * The result is an outlier. See case narrative.
- # The control limit criteria is not applicable. See case narrative.
- A A tentatively identified compound, a suspected aldol-condensation product.
- B The analyte was found in the associated method blank at a level that is significant relative to the sample result as defined by the DOD or NELAC standards.
- C The analyte was qualitatively confirmed using GC/MS techniques, pattern recognition, or by comparing to historical data.
- D The reported result is from a dilution.
- E The result is an estimated value.
- J The result is an estimated value.
- N The result is presumptive. The analyte was tentatively identified, but a confirmation analysis was not performed.
- P The GC or HPLC confirmation criteria was exceeded. The relative percent difference is greater than 40% between the two analytical results.
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected ("Non-detect") at or above the MRL/MDL.
 DOD-QSM 4.2 definition: Analyte was not detected and is reported as less than the LOD or as defined by the project. The detection limit is adjusted for dilution.
- i The MRL/MDL or LOQ/LOD is elevated due to a chromatographic interference.
- X See case narrative.
- \boldsymbol{Q} $\;\;$ See case narrative. One or more quality control criteria was outside the limits.

Additional Petroleum Hydrocarbon Specific Qualifiers

- L The chromatographic fingerprint of the sample resembles a petroleum product, but the elution pattern indicates the presence of a greater amount of lighter molecular weight constituents than the calibration standard.
- H The chromatographic fingerprint of the sample resembles a petroleum product, but the elution pattern indicates the presence of a greater amount of heavier molecular weight constituents than the calibration standard.
- O The chromatographic fingerprint of the sample resembles an oil, but does not match the calibration standard.
- Y The chromatographic fingerprint of the sample resembles a petroleum product eluting in approximately the correct carbon range, but the elution pattern does not match the calibration standard.
- Z The chromatographic fingerprint does not resemble a petroleum product.

ALS Group USA Corp. dba ALS Environmental (ALS) - Kelso State Certifications, Accreditations, and Licenses

| Agency | Web Site | Number |
|--------------------------|---|-------------|
| Alaska DEH | http://dec.alaska.gov/eh/lab/cs/csapproval.htm | UST-040 |
| Arizona DHS | http://www.azdhs.gov/lab/license/env.htm | AZ0339 |
| Arkansas - DEQ | http://www.adeq.state.ar.us/techsvs/labcert.htm | 88-0637 |
| California DHS (ELAP) | http://www.cdph.ca.gov/certlic/labs/Pages/ELAP.aspx | 2795 |
| DOD ELAP | http://www.denix.osd.mil/edqw/Accreditation/AccreditedLabs.cfm | L16-58-R4 |
| Florida DOH | http://www.doh.state.fl.us/lab/EnvLabCert/WaterCert.htm | E87412 |
| Hawaii DOH | http://health.hawaii.gov/ | - |
| ISO 17025 | http://www.pjlabs.com/ | L16-57 |
| Louisiana DEQ | http://www.deq.louisiana.gov/page/la-lab-accreditation | 03016 |
| Maine DHS | http://www.maine.gov/dhhs/ | WA01276 |
| Minnesota DOH | http://www.health.state.mn.us/accreditation | 053-999-457 |
| Nevada DEP | http://ndep.nv.gov/bsdw/labservice.htm | WA01276 |
| New Jersey DEP | http://www.nj.gov/dep/enforcement/oqa.html | WA005 |
| New York - DOH | https://www.wadsworth.org/regulatory/elap | 12060 |
| | https://deq.nc.gov/about/divisions/water-resources/water-resources-data/water-sciences-home-page/laboratory-certification-branch/non-field-lab- | |
| North Carolina DEQ | certification | 605 |
| Oklahoma DEQ | http://www.deq.state.ok.us/CSDnew/labcert.htm | 9801 |
| Oregon – DEQ (NELAP) | http://public.health.oregon.gov/LaboratoryServices/EnvironmentalLaboratoryAccreditation/Pages/index.aspx | WA100010 |
| South Carolina DHEC | http://www.scdhec.gov/environment/EnvironmentalLabCertification/ | 61002 |
| Texas CEQ | http://www.tceq.texas.gov/field/qa/env_lab_accreditation.html | T104704427 |
| Washington DOE | http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/eap/labs/lab-accreditation.html | C544 |
| Wyoming (EPA Region 8) | https://www.epa.gov/region8-waterops/epa-region-8-certified-drinking-water- | - |
| Kelso Laboratory Website | www.alsglobal.com | NA |

Analyses were performed according to our laboratory's NELAP-approved quality assurance program. A complete listing of specific NELAP-certified analytes, can be found in the certification section at www.ALSGlobal.com or at the accreditation bodies web site.

Please refer to the certification and/or accreditation body's web site if samples are submitted for compliance purposes. The states highlighted above, require the analysis be listed on the state certification if used for compliance purposes and if the method/anlayte is offered by that state.

Acronyms

ASTM American Society for Testing and Materials

A2LA American Association for Laboratory Accreditation

CARB California Air Resources Board

CAS Number Chemical Abstract Service registry Number

CFC Chlorofluorocarbon
CFU Colony-Forming Unit

DEC Department of Environmental Conservation

DEQ Department of Environmental Quality

DHS Department of Health Services

DOE Department of Ecology
DOH Department of Health

EPA U. S. Environmental Protection Agency

ELAP Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program

GC Gas Chromatography

GC/MS Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry

LOD Limit of Detection
LOQ Limit of Quantitation

LUFT Leaking Underground Fuel Tank

M Modified

MCL Maximum Contaminant Level is the highest permissible concentration of a substance

allowed in drinking water as established by the USEPA.

MDL Method Detection Limit
MPN Most Probable Number
MRL Method Reporting Limit

NA Not Applicable
NC Not Calculated

NCASI National Council of the Paper Industry for Air and Stream Improvement

ND Not Detected

NIOSH National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health

PQL Practical Quantitation Limit

RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

SIM Selected Ion Monitoring

TPH Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

tr Trace level is the concentration of an analyte that is less than the PQL but greater than or

equal to the MDL.

ALS Group USA, Corp. dba ALS Environmental

Analyst Summary report

Client: Woodland, City of

Project: HSL Testing/Horseshoe Lake

Service Request: K2304322

Sample Name: Bouy 3 Mid Point **Lab Code:** K2304322-001

Sample Matrix: Water

Date Collected: 04/13/23

Date Received: 04/13/23

Analysis Method Extracted/Digested By Analyzed By

365.3 JSANCHEZ JSANCHEZ

Sample Name: Bouy 2 Beach Date Collected: 04/13/23

Lab Code: K2304322-002 **Date Received:** 04/13/23

Sample Matrix: Water

Analysis Method Extracted/Digested By Analyzed By

365.3 JSANCHEZ JSANCHEZ



Sample Results



General Chemistry

ALS Group USA, Corp. dba ALS Environmental

Analytical Report

Client: Woodland, City of

Service Request: K2304322 **Date Collected:** 04/13/23 10:25 **Project:** HSL Testing/Horseshoe Lake

Date Received: 04/13/23 15:17 **Sample Matrix:** Water

Sample Name: Bouy 3 Mid Point Basis: NA

Lab Code: K2304322-001

General Chemistry Parameters

Analysis

| Analyte Name | Method | Result | Units | MRL | Dil. | Date Analyzed | Date Extracted | Q |
|-------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|------|----------------|----------------|---|
| Phosphorus, Total | 365.3 | ND U | mg/L | 0.020 | 1 | 04/18/23 16:41 | 04/18/23 | |

ALS Group USA, Corp. dba ALS Environmental

Analytical Report

Client: Woodland, City of

Service Request: K2304322 **Date Collected:** 04/13/23 10:35 **Project:** HSL Testing/Horseshoe Lake

Date Received: 04/13/23 15:17 **Sample Matrix:** Water

Basis: NA **Sample Name:** Bouy 2 Beach

Lab Code: K2304322-002

General Chemistry Parameters

Analysis

| Analyte Name | Method | Result | Units | MRL | Dil. | Date Analyzed | Date Extracted | Q |
|---------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|------|----------------|-----------------------|---|
| Phosphorus, Total | 365.3 | ND U | mg/L | 0.020 | 1 | 04/18/23 16:41 | 04/18/23 | |



QC Summary Forms



General Chemistry

ALS Group USA, Corp. dba ALS Environmental

Analytical Report

Client: Woodland, City of

Service Request: K2304322

Project: HSL Testing/Horseshoe Lake

Date Collected: NA

Sample Matrix: Water

Date Received: NA

Sample Name:

Method Blank

Basis: NA

Lab Code: K2304322-MB

General Chemistry Parameters

Analysis

| Analyte Name | Method | Result | Units | MRL | Dil. | Date Analyzed | Q |
|---------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|------|----------------|---|
| Phosphorus, Total | 365.3 | ND U | mg/L | 0.020 | 1 | 04/18/23 16:41 | |