



Kathryn Myklebust Woodland, City of P.O. Box 9 Woodland, WA 98674

**Laboratory Results for: Horseshoe Lake** 

Dear Kathryn,

Enclosed are the results of the sample(s) submitted to our laboratory May 18, 2023 For your reference, these analyses have been assigned our service request number **K2305705**.

Analyses were performed according to our laboratory's NELAP-approved quality assurance program. The test results meet requirements of the current NELAP standards, where applicable, and except as noted in the laboratory case narrative provided. For a specific list of NELAP-accredited analytes, refer to the certifications section at www.alsglobal.com. All results are intended to be considered in their entirety, and ALS Group USA Corp. dba ALS Environmental (ALS) is not responsible for use of less than the complete report. Results apply only to the items submitted to the laboratory for analysis and individual items (samples) analyzed, as listed in the report.

Please contact me if you have any questions. My extension is 3260. You may also contact me via email at Luke.Rahn@alsglobal.com.

Respectfully submitted,

ALS Group USA, Corp. dba ALS Environmental

ydney a Wale

for Luke Rahn Project Manager



### **Narrative Documents**



Client: Woodland, City of Service Request: K2305705

Project: Horseshoe Lake Date Received: 05/18/2023

Sample Matrix: Water

#### **CASE NARRATIVE**

All analyses were performed consistent with the quality assurance program of ALS Environmental. This report contains analytical results for samples for the Tier I level requested by the client.

#### **Sample Receipt:**

Two water samples were received for analysis at ALS Environmental on 05/18/2023. Any discrepancies upon initial sample inspection are annotated on the sample receipt and preservation form included within this report. The samples were stored at minimum in accordance with the analytical method requirements.

### **General Chemistry:**

No significant anomalies were noted with this analysis.



### **SAMPLE DETECTION SUMMARY**

This form includes only detections above the reporting levels. For a full listing of sample results, continue to the Sample Results section of this Report.

	Lau	ID: K2305	705-001		
Results	Flag	MDL	MRL	Units	Method
2.7			1.1	mg/m3	SM 10200 H
3.1			1.0	MPN/100m L	SM 9223 B
0.040			0.020	mg/L	365.3
	Lab	ID: K2305	705-002		
Results	Flag	MDL	MRL	Units	Method
3.1			1.1	mg/m3	SM 10200 H
	2.7 3.1 0.040 Results	Results Flag 2.7 3.1 0.040 Lab Results Flag	Results   Flag   MDL	Results         Flag         MDL         MRL           2.7         1.1           3.1         1.0           0.040         0.020           Lab ID: K2305705-002           Results         Flag         MDL         MRL	Results   Flag   MDL   MRL   Units



## Sample Receipt Information

Client: Woodland, City of Service Request: K2305705

Project: Horseshoe Lake

### SAMPLE CROSS-REFERENCE

SAMPLE #	CLIENT SAMPLE ID	<u>DATE</u>	<u>IIME</u>
K2305705-001	Bouy 2-Swim Beach	5/18/2023	1100
K2305705-002	Bouy 3-Mid Point	5/18/2023	1100



### **CHAIN OF CUSTODY**

129734

	1/00
)1	SR#_/\
	COC Setof
	COC#

1317 South 13th Ave, Kelso, WA 98626 Phone (360) 577-7222 / 800-695-7222 / FAX (360) 636-1068
www.alsolobal.com

(ML3) CIESTA						·	·			www.a	elsglob	al.com	m	4		Page 1 of 1
Project Name HSU TESTINA Project Manager 1		lumber forseflux	alce		8H	48H	28D				•					
Katuryn		oust							T	1					9	
Address =	ord land			CONTAINERS	ပ	ıyıla U									Temp	
PU blox by M	bodland	1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1		Ϋ́	y EC	dou							[ {	V	19	
Phone # 360 275 - 7999	email WYW	lebustle eci, wood	lland.	ပ္ပ	B / Ontray EC C	Chic	<b></b>						2		',	
Sampler Signature	Sampler	Printed Name W	.145	R OF	3B/	HQ	soul				l	ĺ	20		41	
2/	- Ga			NUMBER	SM 9223	SM 10200 H / Chiorophylla UF	365.3 / Phos	-	2	_	**	10	∜ Rer	marl	$\xi_{\rm e}$	
CLIENT SAMPLE ID	LABID	SAMPLING Date Time	Matrix													
1Boury 2 Sivin Bod	12	918 23	420	3	X	K	×									
2 Bow 3-Mal Bint	3	51823	4,0	2		X	X									
3."																
4.																
5.																
6.				<u> </u>										Щ		
7.				<u> </u>												
3.				<u> </u>										<u> </u>		
9.											_			aryan dan		
10.	· •													<u> </u>		
Report Requirements		oice Information												<u>(</u>	ircle which	ch metals are to be analyzed
I. Routine Report: Method Blank, Surrogate, as required	P.O.#_ Bill To	:														Cu Fe Pb Mg Mn Mo Ni K Ag Na Se Sr Tl Sn V Zn Hg
II. Report Dup., MS, MSD as required			- L								Sb	Ва				Cr Cu Fe Pb Mg Mn Mo Ni K Ag Na Se Sr Tl Sn V Zn Hg
III. CLP Like Summary	Turnar	ound Requiremer	nts	pecia	Insti	uctio	ns/(	Com	men	ts:			-in	dicat	e State	Hydrocarbon Procedure: AK CA WI Northwest Other(Circle One)
(no raw data)		4 hr48 hr.														
IV. Data Validation Report	S	standard														
V. EDD		Requested Report Date														
Relinquished By:	- KEN	Received By:	Ks	Re	lingi المال	uish	ed کان	By: كاك	<u> </u>	7	B		Receive	d By	<u>/:</u>	Relinquished By: Received By
grature Divor	Signature Kat Ury	memyklebus	t Kc	ature Thi	U Wayn	-E	μ	yk	ldox	A	gnati	zn	c Pav	ulo	asb	i Frank Pawlowski Than Stork
Fary Oliver InterName ity of wordland	Printed N	ame HW Wolland	Print G	ted Na + V	mg			Ú.	J	'IPr	inted	Nai	me FW0			Printed Name  Printed Name  AU  Printed Name  AU  AU  AU  AU  AU  AU  AU  AU  AU  A
11 23 11 Am	51812		Fimo	18/2			);,	40,	βW	Fi	18)	) }?			OP	M 5/10/23 1.330 PW Firm 5/8/23 1530
Date/Time	Date/Time	e´	Date	/Time						Da	ite/T	ime				Date/Time Date/Time

											рм /	1
Client C	11/12	- lav	Cooler Red	ceipt an	d Preserv		Form e Request <i>K</i>	na (T	SICE		1 141	+
Received:	SIKha	Opened: _	5/18/2	<u>ح</u> ه	ly:	- //	Jnloaded:≤		123	By: 💆		/
	To Topping and min?					7	-					
•	ere received via? ere received in: (ci	USPS	Fed Ex poler	UPS Box			PDX	Courie	r Ha	nd Deliv		
-	ly seals on coolers		NA Y A	_	Envelope		Other ere?				ÑA	
	ere custody seals i			San	•		ed and dated?			Y	N	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					17			<del> </del>				
Temp Blank	Sample Temp	IR Gun	Cooler #/COC	ID/NA	Out of indicate v		PM Notified If out of te		Tracking	Number	(NA	File
10.7	·laa ·	Ma	17012	24					9		0	
-17(6)	1 2 2 2 2		1617	<u></u>								
												1
<u></u>				<del> </del>								
	2-10-0-1,1-0		***************************************							~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
4. Was a Tempe	rature Blank prese	ent in cooler?	NA (Y)	N If ye	s, notate the	temperat	ture in the app	ropriate (	olumn abov	/e:		
If no, take th	ne temperature of a	representative	sample bottle c	ontained w	ithin the coo	ler; nota	te in the colun	nn "Samp	ole Temp":			
5. Were samples	s received within t	he method spe	cified temperatu	re ranges?					NA	Y		
If no, were th	ney received on ice	e and same day	as collected? If	not, notate	the cooler#	above a	nd notify the I	PM.	NA	(F)	N	
f applicable, tis	sue samples were	received: 1	rozen Partid	ıliy Thawe	d Thawed	!						
6. Packing ma	terial: <i>Inserts</i>	Raggies Rus	Mile Wran Ge	i Packs I	Wet Ice Dr	v Ice S	Sleeves					
	y papers properly		. <i>–</i>	. I to CHS		y acc a	3866763		NA	257	T <sub>M</sub>	
	es received in good		= '						NA		, O	
<ol> <li>Were all sam</li> </ol>	nple labels comple	te (ie, analysis	, preservation, e	tc.)?					NA		N	
	ole labels and tags								NA	8	N	
1. Were approp	oriate bottles/conta	iiners and volu	mes received for	r the tests is	ndicated?				NA	Ø.	N	
12. Were the pH	I-preserved bottles	(see SMO GE	N SOP) received	d at the app	ropriate pH?	Indicat	e in the table i	below	NA	(X)	N	
13. Were VOA	vials received with	out headspace	? Indicate in the	e table beld	DW.				<b>M</b>	Y	N	
14. Was C12/Re	es negative?								E.	Y	N	
15. Were sample	es received within	the method sp	ecified time limi	it? If not, n	otate the erro	r below	and notify the	PM	<b>W</b>	Y	N	
16. Were 100ml	sterile microbiolo	gy bottles fille	d exactly to the	100ml mar	k? NA	(A)	) и		Underfille	ed O	verfille	d
Sa	mple ID on Bott					giá nig tgale		arates e Á	-i4:5:1 t			
Jai	inple ID OII BOLL	16		imple ID	on COC 1874				dentified t	<u>y:</u>		
									····			
		-//1	<u> </u>								*******	
			Bottle Co	unt Hea	.a.		<u> </u>	olume	Banganil			
	Sample ID		Bottle Ty			oH F		dded	Reagent L Number		itials	Time
									***************************************			
Notes Discre	epancies, Resol	utions: Al	O Time	< (2)	1 ( 0/		Samo					
		w	<u> </u>				- Surf					
G:\SMO\	2022 Forms			SOP	: SMO-GEN	N .			Rev	viewed:	12/9/	2022

Page 8 of 22



### **Miscellaneous Forms**

#### **Inorganic Data Qualifiers**

- \* The result is an outlier. See case narrative.
- # The control limit criteria is not applicable.
- B The analyte was found in the associated method blank at a level that is significant relative to the sample result as defined by the DOD or NELAC standards.
- F. The result is an estimate amount because the value exceeded the instrument calibration range.
- J The result is an estimated value.
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected ("Non-detect") at or above the MRL/MDL.
  DOD-QSM 4.2 definition: Analyte was not detected and is reported as less than the LOD or as defined by the project. The detection limit is adjusted for dilution.
- i The MRL/MDL or LOQ/LOD is elevated due to a matrix interference.
- X See case narrative.
- Q See case narrative. One or more quality control criteria was outside the limits.
- H The holding time for this test is immediately following sample collection. The samples were analyzed as soon as possible after receipt by the laboratory.

#### **Metals Data Qualifiers**

- # The control limit criteria is not applicable.
- J The result is an estimated value.
- E The percent difference for the serial dilution was greater than 10%, indicating a possible matrix interference in the sample.
- M The duplicate injection precision was not met.
- N The Matrix Spike sample recovery is not within control limits. See case narrative.
- S The reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Additions (MSA).
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected ("Non-detect") at or above the MRL/MDL. DOD-QSM 4.2 definition: Analyte was not detected and is reported as less than the LOD or as defined by the project. The detection limit is adjusted for dilution.
- W The post-digestion spike for furnace AA analysis is out of control limits, while sample absorbance is less than 50% of spike absorbance.
- i The MRL/MDL or LOQ/LOD is elevated due to a matrix interference.
- X See case narrative.
- + The correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.
- Q See case narrative. One or more quality control criteria was outside the limits.

#### **Organic Data Qualifiers**

- \* The result is an outlier. See case narrative.
- # The control limit criteria is not applicable. See case narrative.
- A A tentatively identified compound, a suspected aldol-condensation product.
- B The analyte was found in the associated method blank at a level that is significant relative to the sample result as defined by the DOD or NELAC standards.
- C The analyte was qualitatively confirmed using GC/MS techniques, pattern recognition, or by comparing to historical data.
- D The reported result is from a dilution.
- E The result is an estimated value.
- J The result is an estimated value.
- N The result is presumptive. The analyte was tentatively identified, but a confirmation analysis was not performed.
- P The GC or HPLC confirmation criteria was exceeded. The relative percent difference is greater than 40% between the two analytical results.
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected ("Non-detect") at or above the MRL/MDL.
  DOD-QSM 4.2 definition: Analyte was not detected and is reported as less than the LOD or as defined by the project. The detection limit is adjusted for dilution.
- i The MRL/MDL or LOQ/LOD is elevated due to a chromatographic interference.
- X See case narrative.
- $\boldsymbol{Q}$   $\;\;$  See case narrative. One or more quality control criteria was outside the limits.

#### **Additional Petroleum Hydrocarbon Specific Qualifiers**

- L The chromatographic fingerprint of the sample resembles a petroleum product, but the elution pattern indicates the presence of a greater amount of lighter molecular weight constituents than the calibration standard.
- H The chromatographic fingerprint of the sample resembles a petroleum product, but the elution pattern indicates the presence of a greater amount of heavier molecular weight constituents than the calibration standard.
- O The chromatographic fingerprint of the sample resembles an oil, but does not match the calibration standard.
- Y The chromatographic fingerprint of the sample resembles a petroleum product eluting in approximately the correct carbon range, but the elution pattern does not match the calibration standard.
- Z The chromatographic fingerprint does not resemble a petroleum product.

### ALS Group USA Corp. dba ALS Environmental (ALS) - Kelso State Certifications, Accreditations, and Licenses

Agency	Web Site	Number
Alaska DEH	http://dec.alaska.gov/eh/lab/cs/csapproval.htm	UST-040
Arizona DHS	http://www.azdhs.gov/lab/license/env.htm	AZ0339
Arkansas - DEQ	http://www.adeq.state.ar.us/techsvs/labcert.htm	88-0637
California DHS (ELAP)	http://www.cdph.ca.gov/certlic/labs/Pages/ELAP.aspx	2795
DOD ELAP	http://www.denix.osd.mil/edqw/Accreditation/AccreditedLabs.cfm	L16-58-R4
Florida DOH	http://www.doh.state.fl.us/lab/EnvLabCert/WaterCert.htm	E87412
Hawaii DOH	http://health.hawaii.gov/	-
ISO 17025	http://www.pjlabs.com/	L16-57
Louisiana DEQ	http://www.deq.louisiana.gov/page/la-lab-accreditation	03016
Maine DHS	http://www.maine.gov/dhhs/	WA01276
Minnesota DOH	http://www.health.state.mn.us/accreditation	053-999-457
Nevada DEP	http://ndep.nv.gov/bsdw/labservice.htm	WA01276
New Jersey DEP	http://www.nj.gov/dep/enforcement/oqa.html	WA005
New York - DOH	https://www.wadsworth.org/regulatory/elap	12060
	https://deq.nc.gov/about/divisions/water-resources/water-resources-data/water-sciences-home-page/laboratory-certification-branch/non-field-lab-	
North Carolina DEQ	certification	605
Oklahoma DEQ	http://www.deq.state.ok.us/CSDnew/labcert.htm	9801
Oregon – DEQ (NELAP)	http://public.health.oregon.gov/LaboratoryServices/EnvironmentalLaboratoryAccreditation/Pages/index.aspx	WA100010
South Carolina DHEC	http://www.scdhec.gov/environment/EnvironmentalLabCertification/	61002
Texas CEQ	http://www.tceq.texas.gov/field/qa/env_lab_accreditation.html	T104704427
Washington DOE	http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/eap/labs/lab-accreditation.html	C544
Wyoming (EPA Region 8)	https://www.epa.gov/region8-waterops/epa-region-8-certified-drinking-water-	-
Kelso Laboratory Website	www.alsglobal.com	NA

Analyses were performed according to our laboratory's NELAP-approved quality assurance program. A complete listing of specific NELAP-certified analytes, can be found in the certification section at www.ALSGlobal.com or at the accreditation bodies web site.

Please refer to the certification and/or accreditation body's web site if samples are submitted for compliance purposes. The states highlighted above, require the analysis be listed on the state certification if used for compliance purposes and if the method/anlayte is offered by that state.

#### Acronyms

ASTM American Society for Testing and Materials

A2LA American Association for Laboratory Accreditation

CARB California Air Resources Board

CAS Number Chemical Abstract Service registry Number

CFC Chlorofluorocarbon
CFU Colony-Forming Unit

DEC Department of Environmental Conservation

DEQ Department of Environmental Quality

DHS Department of Health Services

DOE Department of Ecology
DOH Department of Health

EPA U. S. Environmental Protection Agency

ELAP Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program

GC Gas Chromatography

GC/MS Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry

LOD Limit of Detection
LOQ Limit of Quantitation

LUFT Leaking Underground Fuel Tank

M Modified

MCL Maximum Contaminant Level is the highest permissible concentration of a substance

allowed in drinking water as established by the USEPA.

MDL Method Detection Limit
MPN Most Probable Number
MRL Method Reporting Limit

NA Not Applicable
NC Not Calculated

NCASI National Council of the Paper Industry for Air and Stream Improvement

ND Not Detected

NIOSH National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health

PQL Practical Quantitation Limit

RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

SIM Selected Ion Monitoring

TPH Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

tr Trace level is the concentration of an analyte that is less than the PQL but greater than or

equal to the MDL.

Analyst Summary report

Client: Woodland, City of

**Project:** Horseshoe Lake/

**Service Request:** K2305705

**Sample Name:** Bouy 2-Swim Beach

**Lab Code:** K2305705-001

Sample Matrix: Water

**Date Collected:** 05/18/23

**Date Received:** 05/18/23

**Analysis Method** 

365.3 SM 10200 H SM 9223 B Extracted/Digested By
JHINSON

JHINSON JHINSON MRICH MRICH

**JVANSTEENBERG** 

**Analyzed By** 

Sample Name: Bouy 3-Mid Point Lab Code: K2305705-002

Sample Matrix: Water

**Date Collected:** 05/18/23 **Date Received:** 05/18/23

**Analysis Method** 

365.3 SM 10200 H **Extracted/Digested By** 

JHINSON MRICH Analyzed By

JHINSON MRICH



## Sample Results



## **General Chemistry**

Analytical Report

**Client:** Woodland, City of

**Service Request:** K2305705 **Date Collected:** 05/18/23 11:00 Horseshoe Lake

**Date Received:** 05/18/23 15:30 **Sample Matrix:** Water

**Sample Name:** Bouy 2-Swim Beach Basis: NA

Lab Code: K2305705-001

**Project:** 

	Analysis						Date	
Analyte Name	Method	Result	Units	MRL	Dil.	Date Analyzed	Extracted	Q
Chlorophyll A	SM 10200 H	2.7	mg/m3	1.1	1	06/06/23 15:24	06/06/23	
Escherichia coli	SM 9223 B	3.1	MPN/100mL	1.0	1	05/18/23 16:16	NA	
Phosphorus, Total	365.3	0.040	mg/L	0.020	1	05/22/23 16:00	05/22/23	

Analytical Report

**Client:** Woodland, City of

**Service Request:** K2305705 **Date Collected:** 05/18/23 11:00 **Project:** Horseshoe Lake

**Date Received:** 05/18/23 15:30 **Sample Matrix:** Water

**Sample Name: Bouy 3-Mid Point** Basis: NA

Lab Code: K2305705-002

Analyte Name	<b>Analysis Method</b>	Result	Units	MRL	Dil.	Date Analyzed	<b>Date Extracted</b>	Q
Chlorophyll A	SM 10200 H	3.1	mg/m3	1.1	1	06/06/23 15:24	06/06/23	
Phosphorus, Total	365.3	ND U	mg/L	0.020	1	05/22/23 16:00	05/22/23	



# **QC Summary Forms**



## **General Chemistry**

Analytical Report

Client: Woodland, City of Service Request: K2305705

Project:Horseshoe LakeDate Collected:NASample Matrix:WaterDate Received:NA

Sample Name: Method Blank Basis: NA

Lab Code: K2305705-MB1

Analyte Name	<b>Analysis Method</b>	Result	Units	MRL	Dil.	<b>Date Analyzed</b>	<b>Date Extracted</b>	Q
Chlorophyll A	SM 10200 H	ND U	mg/m3	0.60	1	06/06/23 15:24	06/06/23	
Phosphorus, Total	365.3	ND U	mg/L	0.020	1	05/22/23 16:00	05/22/23	

Analytical Report

Client: Woodland, City of Service Request: K2305705

Project:Horseshoe LakeDate Collected:NASample Matrix:WaterDate Received:NA

Sample Name: Method Blank Basis: NA

Lab Code: K2305705-MB2

Analyte Name	<b>Analysis Method</b>	Result	Units	MRL	Dil.	Date Analyzed	<b>Date Extracted</b>	Q
Chlorophyll A	SM 10200 H	ND U	mg/m3	0.60	1	06/06/23 15:24	06/06/23	

Analytical Report

Client: Woodland, City of Service Request: K2305705

Project: Horseshoe Lake Date Collected: NA

Sample Matrix: Water Date Received: NA

Sample Name: Method Blank Basis: NA

**Lab Code:** K2305705-MB3

Analyte Name	<b>Analysis Method</b>	Result	Units	MRL	Dil.	Date Analyzed	<b>Date Extracted</b>	Q
Chlorophyll A	SM 10200 H	ND U	mg/m3	0.60	1	06/06/23 15:24	06/06/23	